

ON UNIFORMLY RESOLVABLE $\{K_2, P_k\}$ -DESIGNS WITH
 $k = 3, 4$

MARIO GIONFRIDDO AND SALVATORE MILICI

ABSTRACT. Given a collection of graphs \mathcal{H} , a uniformly resolvable \mathcal{H} -*design* of order v is a decomposition of the edges of K_v into isomorphic copies of graphs from \mathcal{H} (also called *blocks*) in such a way that all blocks in a given parallel class are isomorphic to the same graph from \mathcal{H} . We consider the case $\mathcal{H} = \{K_2, P_k\}$ with $k = 3, 4$, and prove that the necessary conditions on the existence of such designs are also sufficient.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a collection of graphs \mathcal{H} , an \mathcal{H} -*design* of order v is a decomposition of the edges of K_v into isomorphic copies of graphs from \mathcal{H} , the copies of $H \in \mathcal{H}$ in the decomposition are called *blocks*. An \mathcal{H} -design is called *resolvable* if it is possible to partition the blocks into *classes* \mathcal{P}_i such that every point of K_v appears exactly once in some block of each \mathcal{P}_i .

A resolvable \mathcal{H} -decomposition of K_v is sometimes also referred to as a \mathcal{H} -*factorization* of K_v , a class can be called an \mathcal{H} -*factor* of K_v . The case where \mathcal{H} is a single edge (K_2) is known as a 1-*factorization* of K_v and it is well known to exist if and only if v is even. A single class of a 1-factorization, a pairing of all points, is also known as a 1-*factor* or a *perfect matching*. A resolvable \mathcal{H} -design is called *uniform* if every block of the class is isomorphic to the same graph from \mathcal{H} . Of particular note is the result of Rees [10] which finds necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of uniformly resolvable $\{K_2, K_3\}$ -designs of order v . Uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v have also been studied in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 11, 14, 13]. In what follows, we will denote by $[a_1, \dots, a_k]$, $k \geq 2$, the path P_k having vertex set $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ and edge set $\{\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_2, a_3\}, \dots, \{a_{k-1}, a_k\}\}$. If v is even and $k \in \{3, 4\}$, let (K_2, P_k) -URD($v; r, s$) denote a uniformly resolvable decomposition of K_v into r classes containing only copies of 1-factors and s classes containing only copies of paths P_k . Let URD($v; K_2, P_k$) denote the set of all pairs (r, s) such that there exists a (K_2, P_k) -URD($v; r, s$).

Received by the editors September 12, 2014, and in revised form January 10, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05B05.

Key words and phrases. Resolvable graph decomposition; uniformly resolvable designs; paths.

Research supported by MIUR-PRIN 2012 (Italy) and INDAM-GNSAGA (Italy).

Given $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, define $J_1(v)$ according to the following table:

v	$J_1(v)$
$0 \pmod{12}$	$\{(v-1-4x, 3x), x=0, 1, \dots, (v-4)/4\}$
$6 \pmod{12}$	$\{(v-1-4x, 3x), x=0, 1, \dots, (v-2)/4\}$

TABLE 1. The set $J_1(v)$.

Given $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, define $J_2(v)$ according to the following table:

v	$J_2(v)$
$0 \pmod{12}$	$\{(v-1-3x, 2x), x=0, 1, \dots, (v-3)/3\}$
$4 \pmod{12}$	$\{(v-1-3x, 2x), x=0, 1, \dots, (v-1)/3\}$
$8 \pmod{12}$	$\{(v-1-3x, 2x), x=0, 1, \dots, (v-2)/3\}$

TABLE 2. The set $J_2(v)$.

In this paper, the main purpose is to investigate the existence problem of a (K_2, P_k) -URD($v; r, s$) of K_v for $k = 3, 4$. We completely solve the spectrum problem for such design; i.e., characterize the existence of uniformly resolvable $\{K_2, P_k\}$ -designs of order v , by proving the following result:

Main Theorem.

- (i) A (K_2, P_3) -URD($v; r, s$) exists if and only if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $\text{URD}(v; K_2, P_3) = J_1(v)$.
- (ii) A (K_2, P_4) -URD($v; r, s$) exists if and only if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $\text{URD}(v; K_2, P_3) = J_2(v)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NECESSARY CONDITIONS

In this section we will introduce some useful definitions, results, and give necessary conditions for the existence of a uniformly resolvable decomposition of K_v into r classes of 1-factors and s classes of paths P_k , $k = 3, 4$. For missing terms or results that are not explicitly explained in the paper, the reader is referred to [1] and its online updates. For some results below, we also cite this handbook instead of the original papers. A (resolvable) \mathcal{H} -decomposition of the complete multipartite graph with u parts each of size g is known as a resolvable group divisible design \mathcal{H} -RGDD of type g^u , the parts of size g are called the groups of the design. When $\mathcal{H} = K_n$ we will call it an n -(R)GDD. A (K_2, P_k) -URGDD (r, s) of type g^u is a uniformly resolvable decomposition of the complete multipartite graph with u parts each of size g into r classes containing only copies of 1-factors and s classes containing only copies of paths P_k .

If the blocks of an \mathcal{H} -GDD of type g^u can be partitioned into partial parallel classes, each of which contain all points except those of one group, we refer to the decomposition as a *frame*.

A incomplete resolvable (K_2, P_4) -decomposition of K_v with a hole of size h is an (K_2, P_4) -decomposition of $K_{v+h} - K_h$ in which there are two types of classes, full classes and partial classes which cover every point except those in the hole (the points of K_h are referred to as the hole). Specifically a (K_2, P_4) -IURD($v + h, h; [r_1, s_1], [\bar{r}_1, \bar{s}_1]$) is a uniformly resolvable (K_2, P_4) -decomposition of $K_{v+h} - K_h$ with r_1 1-factors which cover only the points not in the hole, s_1 partial classes of paths P_4 which cover only the points not in the hole, \bar{r}_1 1-factors and \bar{s}_1 full classes of paths P_4 which cover every point of K_{v+h} .

Lemma 2.1. *If there exists a (K_2, P_3) -URD($v; r, s$) of K_v , then $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and $(r, s) \in J_1(v)$.*

Proof. The condition $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ is trivial. Let D be a (K_2, P_3) -URD($v; r, s$) of K_v . Counting the edges of K_v that appear in D we obtain

$$\frac{rv}{2} + \frac{2sv}{3} = \frac{v(v-1)}{2},$$

and hence

$$(2.1) \quad 3r + 4s = 3(v-1).$$

This equation implies that $3r \equiv 3(v-1) \pmod{4}$ and $4s \equiv 3(v-1) \pmod{3}$. Then we obtain

- $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$,
- $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for $v \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$.

Letting now $s = 3x$, the equation (2) yields $r = (v-1) - 4x$. Since r and s cannot be negative, and x is an integer, the value of x has to be in the range as given in the definition of $J_1(v)$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2. *If there exists a (K_2, P_4) -URD($v; r, s$) of K_v then $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $(r, s) \in J_2(v)$.*

Proof. The condition $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ is trivial. Let D be a (K_2, P_4) -URD($v; r, s$) of K_v . Counting the edges of K_v that appear in D we obtain

$$\frac{rv}{2} + \frac{3sv}{4} = \frac{v(v-1)}{2},$$

and hence

$$(2.2) \quad 2r + 3s = 2(v-1).$$

This equation implies that

$$2r \equiv 2(v-1) \pmod{3} \quad \text{and} \quad 3s \equiv 2(v-1) \pmod{2}.$$

Then we obtain

- $r \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$,
- $r \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$,
- $r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $v \equiv 8 \pmod{12}$.

Letting now $s = 2x$, the equation (2) yields $r = (v - 1) - 3x$. Since r and s cannot be negative, and x is an integer, the value of x has to be in the range as given in the definition of $J_2(v)$. This completes the proof. \square

We now recall some results that can be used to produce the main result.

Theorem 2.3. [10] *There exists a (K_2, K_3) -URD($v; r, s$), $r, s > 0$, if and only if*

- (1) $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$,
- (2) $(r, s) \in \{(v - 1 - 2x, x), x = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v-2}{2}\}$,
- (3) *with the two exceptions $(v, s) = (6, 2), (12, 5)$.*

Theorem 2.4. [9] *Let $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $v \geq 9$. The union of any two edge-disjoint parallel classes of 3-cycles of K_v can be decomposed into three parallel classes of P_3 .*

We also need the following definitions. Let (s_1, t_1) and (s_2, t_2) be two pairs of non-negative integers. Define $(s_1, t_1) + (s_2, t_2) = (s_1 + s_2, t_1 + t_2)$. If X and Y are two sets of pairs of non-negative integers, then $X + Y$ denotes the set $\{(s_1, t_1) + (s_2, t_2) : (s_1, t_1) \in X, (s_2, t_2) \in Y\}$. If X is a set of pairs of non-negative integers and h is a positive integer, then $h * X$ denotes the set of all pairs of non-negative integers which can be obtained by adding any h elements of X together (repetitions of elements of X are allowed).

3. SMALL CASES

Lemma 3.1. $URD(6; K_2, P_3) = \{(5, 0), (1, 3)\}$.

Proof. The case $(5, 0)$ corresponds to a 1-factorization of the complete bipartite graph K_6 which is known to exist [1]. For the case $(1, 3)$, let $V(K_{12}) = \mathbb{Z}_6$, and the classes as listed below:

$$\{\{0, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}\}, \{[1, 4, 5], [2, 3, 6]\}, \{[3, 1, 5], [4, 2, 6]\}, \{[1, 6, 4], [2, 5, 3]\}.$$

\square

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a (K_2, P_4) -URGDD(r, s) of type 6^2 with $(r, s) \in \{(0, 4), (3, 2), (6, 0)\}$.*

Proof. The case $(6, 0)$ corresponds to a 1-factorization of the complete bipartite graph $K_{6,6}$ which is known to exist [1]. The case $(0, 4)$ corresponds to a (K_2, P_4) -URGDD($0, 4$) which is known to exist [15]. For the case $(3, 2)$ take the groups to be $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}, \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ and the classes listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\{1, c\}, \{2, d\}, \{3, e\}, \{4, f\}, \{5, a\}, \{6, b\}\}, \\ & \quad \{\{1, d\}, \{2, c\}, \{3, f\}, \{4, e\}, \{5, b\}, \{6, a\}\}, \\ & \quad \{\{1, b\}, \{2, e\}, \{3, c\}, \{4, a\}, \{5, f\}, \{6, d\}\}, \\ & \quad [1, a, 2, b], [3, d, 4, c], [5, e, 6, f], \{[4, b, 3, a], [6, c, 5, d], [e, 1, f, 2]\}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 3.3. $URD(12; K_2, P_4) = \{(11, 0), (8, 2), (5, 4), (2, 6)\}$.

Proof. The case $(11, 0)$ corresponds to a 1-factorization of the complete graph K_{12} which is known to exist [1]. The rest of the cases are given explicitly below.

- $(8, 2), (5, 4)$.

Take a (K_2, P_4) -URGDD (r, s) of type 6^2 with $(r, s) \in \{(0, 4), (3, 2)\}$, which come from Lemma 3.2. Fill in each of the groups of size 6 with the same 1-factorization of K_6 . This gives a (K_2, P_4) -URD $(12; r, s)$ for each $(r, s) \in \{(5, 0) + 4 * \{(0, 4), (3, 2), (6, 0)\}\}$.

- $(2, 6)$.

Let $V(K_{12}) = \{0, 1, \dots, 11\}$ be the vertex set and the classes listed below:

$\{[0, 1, 2, 3], [4, 5, 6, 7], [8, 9, 10, 11]\}, \{[1, 3, 0, 2], [5, 7, 4, 6], [9, 11, 8, 10]\},$
 $\{[0, 4, 1, 5], [8, 6, 9, 7], [10, 2, 11, 3]\}, \{[1, 7, 0, 6], [2, 8, 3, 9], [11, 5, 10, 4]\},$
 $\{[9, 4, 8, 5], [11, 0, 10, 1], [3, 6, 2, 7]\}, \{[2, 5, 3, 4], [8, 1, 9, 0], [10, 7, 11, 6]\},$
 $\{[0, 8], [1, 11], [2, 4], [3, 7], [6, 10], [5, 9]\},$
 $\{[0, 5], [1, 6], [2, 9], [3, 10], [4, 11], [7, 8]\}.$

□

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a (K_2, P_4) -IURD $(8, 2; [1, 0], [r, s])$ with $(r, s) \in \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\}$.*

Proof. Let the point set be $V = \{a, b, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and let $\{a, b\}$ be the hole. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_7\}$ be a 1-factorization of K_8 such that $\{a, b\} \in F_1$.

- A (K_2, P_4) -IURD $(8, 2; [1, 0], [6, 0])$
 $F_1 - \{a, b\}, \{F_2, \dots, F_7\}.$
- A (K_2, P_4) -IURD $(8, 2; [1, 0], [3, 2])$
 $F_1 - \{a, b\}, \{\{0, b\}, \{1, 5\}, \{2, a\}, \{3, 4\}\},$
 $\{\{4, b\}, \{a, 5\}, \{2, 3\}, \{0, 1\}\}, \{\{0, 3\}, \{b, 5\}, \{2, 1\}, \{3, 0\}\},$
 $\{[0, a, 1, b], [3, 5, 2, 4]\}, \{[2, b, 3, a], [5, 0, 4, 1]\}.$
- A (K_2, P_4) -IURD $(8, 2; [1, 0], [0, 4])$
 $F_1 - \{a, b\}, \{[0, a, 1, b], [3, 5, 2, 4]\}, \{[2, b, 3, a], [5, 0, 4, 1]\},$
 $\{[2, a, 5, b], [1, 0, 3, 4]\}, \{[0, b, 4, a], [5, 1, 2, 3]\}.$

□

Lemma 3.5. $URD(8; K_2, P_4) = \{(7, 0), (4, 2), (1, 4)\}.$

Proof. The assertion follows from Lemma 3.4. □

4. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 4.1. *For every $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ $J_1(v) \subseteq URD(v; K_2, P_3)$.*

Proof. For $v = 6$ the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.1. For $v \geq 12$, take a (K_2, K_3) -URD $(v; v - 1 - 4t, 2t)$ with $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, (v - 4)/4\}$ for $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ and $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, (v - 2)/4\}$ for $v \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$, which exists

by Theorem 2.3. Applying Theorem 2.4 we obtain a (K_2, P_3) -URD($v; v - 1 - 4t, 3t$).

□

Lemma 4.2. *For every $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$, $J_2(v) \subseteq \text{URD}(v; K_2, P_4)$.*

Proof. Let $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{\frac{v-1}{3}}$ be the parallel classes of a resolvable $\{K_4\}$ -design R of order v . Place on each block of a given resolution class of R the same (K_2, P_4) -URD($4; r, s$) with $(r, s) \in \{(3, 0), (0, 2)\}$. Since R contains $(v-1)/3$ parallel classes the result is a (K_2, P_4) -URD($v; r, s$) of K_v for each $(r, s) \in (v-1)/3 * \{(3, 0), (0, 2)\}$. This implies

$$\text{URD}(v; K_2, P_4) \supseteq \left\{ \frac{v-1}{3} * \{(3, 0), (0, 2)\} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\frac{v-1}{3} * \{(3, 0), (0, 2)\} = \left\{ (v-1-3x, 2x), x = 0, \dots, \frac{v-1}{3} \right\} = J_2(v),$$

we obtain the proof. □

Lemma 4.3. *For every $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ $J_2(v) \subseteq \text{URD}(v; K_2, P_4)$.*

Proof. For $v = 12$ the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.3. For $v \geq 24$ start with a 2-RGDD G of type $2^{\frac{v}{12}}$ [1]. Give weight 6 to each point of this 2-GDD and place on each edge of a given resolution class the same (K_2, P_4) -URGDD(r, s) of type 6^2 , with $(r, s) \in \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.2. Fill the groups of sizes 12 with the same (K_2, P_4) -URD($12; r, s$), with $(r, s) \in \{(11, 0), (8, 2), (5, 4), (2, 6)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.3. Since G contains $(v-12)/6$ resolution classes the result is a (K_2, P_4) -URD($v; r, s$) of K_v for each $(r, s) \in \{(11, 0), (8, 2), (5, 4), (2, 6)\} + (v-12)/6 * \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\}$. This implies

$$\text{URD}(v; K_2, P_4) \supseteq \left\{ \{(11, 0), (8, 2), (5, 4), (2, 6)\} + \frac{(v-12)}{6} * \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\frac{v-12}{6} * \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\} = \left\{ (v-12-3x, 2x), x = 0, \dots, \frac{v-12}{3} \right\},$$

it is easy to see that

$$\left\{ \{(11, 0), (8, 2), (5, 4), (2, 6)\} + \frac{(v-12)}{6} * \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\} \right\} = J_2(v).$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.4. *For every $v \equiv 8 \pmod{12}$ $J_2(v) \subseteq \text{URD}(v; K_2, P_4)$.*

Proof. For $v = 8$ the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.5. For $v > 8$ start with a 2-frame F of type $1^{\frac{v-2}{6}}$ [14] with groups G_i , $i = 1, \dots, (v-2)/6$. Let p_i be the partial parallel class which miss the group G_i . Expand each point 6 times and add a set H of 2 ideal points a_1, a_2 . For each $i = 1, \dots, (v-2)/6$, place on $G_i \times \{1, \dots, 6\} \cup H$ the same (K_2, P_4) -IURD(8, 2; [1, 0], [x, y]) D_i of K_8-K_2 with $(x, y) \in \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.4, in such a way the hole covers the point of H . For each $i = 1, \dots, (v-2)/6$, place on each block of the p_i partial parallel class the same (K_2, P_4) -URGDD(r_2, s_2) of type 6^2 with $(r_2, s_2) \in \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.2.

Add the edge $\{a_1, a_2\}$ of H to the partial classes of D_i and form, on $\cup_{i=1}^{\frac{v-2}{6}} G_i \times \{1, \dots, 6\} \cup H$, 1 class of 1-factors. For each $i = 1, \dots, (v-2)/6$, add the full classes of D_i to the classes of p_i and form r_3 classes of 1-factors and s_3 classes of P_4 -factors with $(r_3, s_3) \in \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\}$. Since each group G_i is missed by 1 partial parallel class of F we obtain a (K_2, P_4) -URD ($v; r, s$) for each $(r, s) \in \{(1, 0) + (v-2)/6 * \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\}\}$. This implies

$$URD(v; K_2, P_4) \supseteq \left\{ (1, 0) + \frac{v-2}{6} * \{(0, 4), (3, 2), (6, 0)\} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\frac{v-2}{6} * \{(0, 4), (3, 2), (6, 0)\} = \left\{ (v-1-3x, 2x), x = 0, \dots, \frac{v-2}{3} \right\},$$

it easy to see that $\{(1, 0) + (v-2)/6 * \{(6, 0), (3, 2), (0, 4)\}\} = J_2(v)$. This completes the proof. \square

5. CONCLUSION

We are now in a position to prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 5.1. *For every $v \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, we have $URD(v; K_2, P_3) = J_1(v)$ and, for every $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we have $URD(v; K_2, P_4) = J_2(v)$.*

Proof. Necessity follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. Sufficiency follows from Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4. This completes the proof. \square

Remark: Note that the existence of uniformly resolvable $\{K_2, P_k\}$ -designs with $k > 4$ is very difficult to study and it is currently under investigation.

REFERENCES

1. C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, *The CRC handbook of combinatorial designs*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2007.
2. P. Danziger, G. Quattrocchi, and B. Stevens, *The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for cycle sizes 3 and 4*, J. Comb. Des. **12** (2004), 221–232.
3. J. H. Dinitz, A. C. H. Ling, and P. Danziger, *Maximum uniformly resolvable designs with block sizes 2 and 4*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009), 4716–4721.
4. M. Gionfriddo and S. Milici, *On the existence of uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v and $K_v - I$ into paths and kites*, Discrete Math. **313** (2013), 2830–2834.

5. ———, *Uniformly resolvable \mathcal{H} -designs with $\mathcal{H} = \{P_3, P_4\}$* , Australas. J. Comb. **60** (2014), no. 3, 325–332.
6. S. Kucukcifci, G. Lo Faro, S. Milici, and A. Tripodi, *Resolvable 3-star designs*, Discrete Math. **338** (2015), 608–614.
7. S. Kucukcifci, S. Milici, and Zs. Tuza, *Maximum uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v into 3-stars and 3-cycles*, Discrete Math. **338** (2015), 1667–1673.
8. S. Milici, *A note on uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v and $K_v - I$ into 2-stars and 4-cycles*, Australas J. Comb. **56** (2013), 195–200.
9. S. Milici and Zs. Tuza, *Uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v into P_3 and K_3 graphs*, Discrete Math. **331** (2014), 137–141.
10. R. Rees, *Uniformly resolvable pairwise balanced designs with block sizes two and three*, J. Comb. Th. Ser. A **45** (1987), 207–225.
11. E. Schuster, *Uniformly resolvable designs with index one and block sizes three and five and up to five with blocks of size five*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009), 4435–4442.
12. ———, *Uniformly resolvable designs with index one and block sizes three and four with three or five parallel classes of block size four*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009), 2452–2465.
13. ———, *Small uniformly resolvable designs for block sizes 3 and 4*, J. Comb. Des. **21** (2013), 481–523.
14. E. Schuster and G. Ge, *On uniformly resolvable designs with block sizes 3 and 4*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **57** (2010), 47–69.
15. K. Ushio and R. Tsuruno, *P_3 -factorization of complete multipartite graphs*, Graphs and Combinatorics **5** (1989), 385–387.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CATANIA, CATANIA,
ITALY

E-mail address: gionfriddo@dmi.unict.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CATANIA, CATANIA,
ITALY

E-mail address: milici@dmi.unict.it