



## COMBINATORIAL INTERPRETATIONS OF TWO IDENTITIES OF GUO AND YANG

MIRCEA MERCA

ABSTRACT. The restricted partitions in which the largest part is less than or equal to  $N$  and the number of parts is less than or equal to  $k$  were investigated by Andrews. These partitions were extended recently by the author to partitions into parts of two kinds. In this paper, we use a new class of restricted partitions into parts of two kinds to provide new combinatorial interpretations for two identities of Guo and Yang.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A partition of  $n$  into at most  $k$  parts, each part less than or equal to  $N$ , is an unordered sum of  $n$  that uses at most  $k$  positive integers less than or equal to  $N$ . These partitions were investigated by Andrews in [2]. Following the notation in [2], the number of such partitions will be denoted in this paper by  $p(N, k, n)$ . According to [2, Theorem 3.1], the generating function of  $p(N, k, n)$  is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{Nk} p(N, k, n)q^n = \begin{bmatrix} N+k \\ N \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k < 0 \text{ or } k > n, \\ \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is the Gaussian polynomial or the  $q$ -binomial coefficient. Recall that

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } n = 0, \\ (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1}), & \text{for } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

is the  $q$ -shifted factorial and

$$(a; q)_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n.$$

---

Received by the editors April 30, 2017, and in revised form May 31, 2020.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A17, 11P81, 11P82.

*Key words and phrases.* integer partitions, restricted partitions.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons “Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International” license.



Because the infinite product  $(a; q)_\infty$  diverges when  $a \neq 0$  and  $|q| \geq 1$ , whenever  $(a; q)_\infty$  appears in a formula, we shall assume that  $|q| < 1$ .

Assume there are positive integers of two kinds:  $\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$ . We denote by  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  the number of partitions of  $n$  into parts of two kinds with at most  $k_1$  parts of the first kind, each part divisible by  $r$  and less than or equal to  $N_1 r$ , and at most  $k_2$  parts of the second kind, each part less than or equal to  $N_2$ . For example,  $\bar{p}_2(2, 3, 2, 2, 4) = 6$  because the six partitions in question are:

$$4, \quad 2 + 2, \quad 2 + \bar{2}, \quad 2 + \bar{1} + \bar{1}, \quad \bar{3} + \bar{1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{2} + \bar{2}.$$

Recently, Merca [6] considered some properties of the Gaussian polynomials and obtained few properties of  $\bar{p}_1(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  (see for instance [6, Theorems 3.1, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 4.1, 4.5, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1]). In this paper, motivated by these results, we provide some similar results for  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ . Two partition formulas involving  $\bar{p}_2(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  and  $\bar{p}_4(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  are derived in the last section of this paper as corollaries of two identities of Guo and Yang [4]:

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ n-2k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{n-2k}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/4 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^4} \begin{bmatrix} m+1 \\ n-4k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{n-4k}{2}} \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} m+n-2k \\ n-2k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

As we can see in [5, Theorems 2.1 and 2.5], these identities are specializations of two convolutions for the complete and elementary symmetric functions.

## 2. SOME GENERAL RESULTS

The following convolution is a connection between the partition functions  $p(N, k, n)$  and  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,*

$$\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/r \rfloor} p(N_1, k_1, j) p(N_2, k_2, n - rj).$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_a + \bar{\lambda}_1 + \cdots + \bar{\lambda}_b = n$$

be a partition into parts of two kinds with  $\lambda_i \leq N_1 r$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_i \leq N_2$ ,  $\lambda_i$  divisible by  $r$ , and  $0 \leq a \leq k_1$ ,  $0 \leq b \leq k_2$ . This partition can be rewritten as

$$r \sum_{i=1}^a \lambda'_i + \sum_{i=1}^b \bar{\lambda}_i = n,$$

where  $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i/r$  and  $\lambda'_i \leq N_1$ . The identity follows easily from this relation.  $\square$

This convolution allows us to give the following generating function for  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N_1 k_1 r + N_2 k_2} \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) q^n = \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 \\ N_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 \\ N_2 \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

*Proof.* Taking into account (1.1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 \\ N_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 \\ N_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N_1 k_1} p(N_1, k_1, n) q^{nr} \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N_2 k_2} p(N_2, k_2, n) q^n \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/r \rfloor} p(N_1, k_1, j) p(N_2, k_2, n - rj) \right) q^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we have invoked the Cauchy multiplication of two power series. The proof follows considering Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

The recurrence relations for the Gaussian polynomials

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} N \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} = q^{kr} \begin{bmatrix} N-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} + \begin{bmatrix} N-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r}.$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} N \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} = \begin{bmatrix} N-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} + q^{(N-k)r} \begin{bmatrix} N-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r}.$$

can be used to derive the following generalization of [6, Theorem 3.3].

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,

- (1)  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) - \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2 - 1, k_1, k_2, n - k_1 r - k_2)$   
 $-\bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2, k_1, k_2 - 1, n - k_1 r) - \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2 - 1, k_1 - 1, k_2, n - k_2)$   
 $-\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1, n) = 0;$
- (2)  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) - \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2 - 1, k_1, k_2, n)$   
 $-\bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2, k_1, k_2 - 1, n - N_2) - \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2 - 1, k_1 - 1, k_2, n - N_1 r)$   
 $-\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1, n - N_1 r - N_2) = 0;$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) - \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2 - 1, k_1, k_2, n - k_1 r) \\ & - \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2, k_1, k_2 - 1, n - k_1 r - N_2) - \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2 - 1, k_1 - 1, k_2, n) \\ & - \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1, n - N_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &= \left( q^{k_1 r} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 1 + k_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} + \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 - 1 \\ k_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( q^{k_2} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - 1 + k_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 - 1 \\ k_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \right) \\ &= q^{k_1 r + k_2} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 1 + k_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - 1 + k_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ & \quad + q^{k_1 r} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 1 + k_1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 - 1 \\ k_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ & \quad + q^{k_2} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 - 1 \\ k_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - 1 + k_2 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ & \quad + \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + k_1 - 1 \\ k_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_{q^r} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 + k_2 - 1 \\ k_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}_q. \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{N_1 k_1 r + N_2 k_2} \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) q^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N_1 - 1)k_1 r + (N_2 - 1)k_2} \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2 - 1, k_1, k_2, n) q^{n + k_1 r + k_2} \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=0}^{(N_1 - 1)k_1 r + N_2(k_2 - 1)} \bar{p}_r(N_1 - 1, N_2, k_1, k_2 - 1, n) q^{n + k_1 r} \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N_1(k_1 - 1)r + (N_2 - 1)k_2} \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2 - 1, k_1 - 1, k_2, n) q^{n + k_2} \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N_1(k_1 - 1)r + N_2(k_2 - 1)} \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1 - 1, k_2 - 1, n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the first relation follows equating the coefficient of  $q^n$  in this identity. Similarly, considering (2.2) we obtain the second recurrence relation. The last relation follows combining (2.1) and (2.2).  $\square$

For  $r \geq 1$ , it is clear that the Gaussian polynomial

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} N+k \\ N \end{matrix} \right]_{q^r}$$

is symmetric in  $N$  and  $k$ . In addition, this polynomial is self-reciprocal. These properties allow us to derive the following relations for the partition function  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** For all  $N_1, N_2, k, n \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,

- (1)  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) = \bar{p}_r(k_1, N_2, N_1, k_2, n)$   
 $= \bar{p}_r(N_1, k_2, k_1, N_2, n)$   
 $= \bar{p}_r(k_1, k_2, N_1, N_2, n);$
- (2)  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) = \bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, N_1 k_1 r + N_2 k_2 - n).$

*Proof.* The poof is similar to [6, Theorem 3.4].  $\square$

For  $r > 1$ , we remark that the Gaussian polynomial

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} N+k \\ N \end{matrix} \right]_{q^r}$$

is not unimodal. Thus the third relation of [6, Theorem 3.4] cannot be generalized in this way.

We denote by  $\bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  the number of partitions of  $n$  into parts of two kinds with exactly  $k_1$  distinct parts of the first kind, each part divisible by  $r$  and less than or equal to  $N_1$ , and exactly  $k_2$  distinct parts of the second kind, each part less than or equal to  $N_2$ . We have the following bijection between restricted partitions into parts of two kinds.

**Theorem 2.5.** For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) \\ &= \bar{p}_r \left( N_1 - k_1, N_2 - k_2, k_1, k_2, n - r \binom{k_1+1}{2} - \binom{k_2+1}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The poof is similar to [6, Theorem 6.1].  $\square$

The generating function for  $\bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  can be easily derived from Theorems 2.2 and 2.5.

**Theorem 2.6.** For  $N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n) q^n = q^{r \binom{k_1+1}{2} + \binom{k_2+1}{2}} \left[ \begin{matrix} N_1 \\ k_1 \end{matrix} \right]_{q^r} \left[ \begin{matrix} N_2 \\ k_2 \end{matrix} \right]_q.$$

A similar result to Theorem 2.1 is also possible for  $\bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  if we consider the number of partitions of  $n$  into exactly  $k$  distinct parts, each part less than or equal to  $N$ , which is denoted in [6] by  $Q(N, k, n)$ . In fact, all results obtained for  $\bar{p}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  can be rewritten in terms of the partition functions  $\bar{Q}_r(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

## 3. TWO PARTITION FORMULAS

As we can see in [6, Theorems 4.5 and 5.1], the partition function  $p(N, k, n)$  can be expressed in terms of the partition function  $\bar{p}_1(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ . For instance, the identity

$$p(N, k, n) = \sum_{j=0}^k \bar{p}_1(N - j, k - j, j, j, n - j^2)$$

is a specialization of [6, Theorem 5.1]. The following result shows that the partition function  $p(N, k, n)$  can be expressed in terms of the partition function  $\bar{p}_2(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $N, k, n \geq 0$ ,*

$$p(N, k, n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \bar{p}_2 \left( N, N + 1 - k + 2j, j, k - 2j, n - \binom{k - 2j}{2} \right).$$

*Proof.* Taking into account the first identity of Guo and Yang (1.2), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{Nk} p(N, k, n) q^n \\ &= \left[ \begin{matrix} N + k \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \left[ \begin{matrix} N + j \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_{q^2} \left[ \begin{matrix} N + 1 \\ k - 2j \end{matrix} \right]_q q^{\binom{k - 2j}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{2Nj + (N + 1 - k + 2j)(k - 2j)} \bar{p}_2(N, N + 1 - k + 2j, j, k - 2j, n) q^{n + \binom{k - 2j}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{n=\binom{k - 2j}{2}}^{Nk - \binom{k - 2j}{2}} \bar{p}_2 \left( N, N + 1 - k + 2j, j, k - 2j, n - \binom{k - 2j}{2} \right) q^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{Nk} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \bar{p}_2 \left( N, N + 1 - k + 2j, j, k - 2j, n - \binom{k - 2j}{2} \right) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

The identity follows equating the coefficient of  $q^n$  in this relation.  $\square$

As a consequence of this theorem, we remark the following formula for the partition function  $p(n)$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$p(n) = \sum_{j=\lceil \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{4} - \sqrt{\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{16}} \rceil}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \bar{p}_2 \left( n, n-2j, j, 2j+1, n - \binom{n-2j}{2} \right).$$

It is clear that the expansion of  $p(n)$  by this corollary requires about  $1 + \sqrt{n/2}$  terms. For example, the case  $n = 6$  of this corollary is read as

$$p(6) = \bar{p}_2(6, 4, 1, 3, 0) + \bar{p}_2(6, 2, 2, 5, 5) + \bar{p}_2(6, 0, 3, 7, 6) = 1 + 7 + 3 = 11.$$

The partition functions  $\bar{p}_2(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  and  $\bar{p}_4(N_1, N_2, k_1, k_2, n)$  are related by the following identity.

**Theorem 3.3.** For  $N, k, n \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \bar{p}_4 \left( N, N+1-k+4j, j, k-4j, n - \binom{k-4j}{2} \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \bar{p}_2(N, N, j, k-2j, n). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} N+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^4} \begin{bmatrix} N+1 \\ k-4j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{k-4j}{2}} \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{4Nj+(N+1-k+4j)(k-4j)} \bar{p}_4(N, N+1-k+4j, j, k-4j, n) q^{n+\binom{k-4j}{2}} \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \sum_{n=\binom{k-4j}{2}}^{Nk-\binom{k-4j}{2}} \bar{p}_4 \left( N, N+1-k+4j, j, k-4j, n - \binom{k-4j}{2} \right) q^n \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{Nk} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/4 \rfloor} \bar{p}_4 \left( N, N+1-k+4j, j, k-4j, n - \binom{k-4j}{2} \right) q^n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} N+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} N+k-2j \\ k-2j \end{bmatrix}_q \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{n=0}^{2Nj+N(k-2j)} (-1)^j \bar{p}_2(N, N, j, k-2j, n) q^n \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{Nk} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \bar{p}_2(N, N, j, k-2j, n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

The identity follows easily considering identity (1.3).  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 provide new combinatorial interpretations for the identities (1.2) and (1.3) of Guo and Yang. According to Andrews [1, Theorem 5.3], there are two identities quite similar to (1.2):

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n+1 \\ 2m+2k+1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{k(2m+2k+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2n-m \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} (-q; q)_{2n-2m}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} m+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ 2m+2k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{k(2m+2k-1)} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 2n-m \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} (-q; q)_{2n-2m} \frac{1-q^{2n}}{1-q^{4n-2m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Following the directions in Andrews's paper [1], it would be very appealing to see that the identity (1.2) is an instance of the  $q$ -Pfaff-Saalschütz summation [3, p. 68]:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(a; q)_k (b; q)_k (q^{-n}; q)_k}{(c; q)_k (abq^{1-n}/c; q)_k (q; q)_k} q^k = \frac{(c/a; q)_n (c/b; q)_n}{(c; q)_n (c/ab; q)_n}.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author likes to thank the referees for their helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. E. Andrews, Applications of basic hypergeometric functions, *SIAM Rev.* **16**(4) (1974), 441–484.
2. ———, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison–Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, M.A., 1976.
3. W. N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1935.
4. V. J. W. Guo, D.-M. Yang, A  $q$ -analogue of some binomial coefficient identities of Y. Sun, *Electron. J. Combin.* **18** (2011), #P78.
5. M. Merca, Generalizations of two identities of Guo and Yang, *Quaest. Math.* **41**(5) (2018), 643–652.
6. ———, Combinatorial interpretations of  $q$ -Vandermonde's identities, *Ann. Acad. Rom. Sci. Ser. Math. Appl.* **11**(1) (2019), 98–114.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRAIOVA  
200585 CRAIOVA, ROMANIA

ACADEMY OF ROMANIAN SCIENTISTS, ILFOV 3, SECTOR 5, BUCURESTI, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* mircea.merca@profinfo.edu.ro